

remain anonymous and others contain personal contact details for others not involved with the campaign.

A debate on the campaign has been held in the Welsh Assembly and was recorded. It can be seen on the Welsh Assembly's website.

Evidence of all the above can be supplied.

Mr. McArthur is being taken seriously by politicians in Wales and he can prove this. If he had been able to provide this evidence to the jury at the time of his trial his story would have been credible and he would not have been found guilty.

Mr. McArthur's claim that he would be able to prove to the media that he was not guilty of the assault has also come true, although not in the way he had expected.

Mr. McArthur had thought it would be necessary to use MRI scanning to show that he was not guilty of assault. Mr. McArthur decided to look at the evidence in the common assault case himself. Careful examination and collation of evidence showed that it was obvious that Mr. McArthur's statement was fully supported by the statements of independent witnesses, those same witnesses completely contradicting the statements made by Vaughan and King. This evidence is now on a website and the story of that trial and subsequent events is available to politicians and journalists who Mr. McArthur contacts. This is necessary because these people search the internet for Mr. McArthur's name and location, find that he is a convicted criminal and do not want to deal with him. The website solves that problem.

The second claim made by Mr. McArthur's in his defence, that he could demonstrate that he was not guilty of assault to the satisfaction of the public and media, has come true.

2a. Mr. McArthur did not receive a fair trial. He was mentally incapable of conducting his defence properly.

Mr. McArthur's trial took place at the end of a year in which he had been the victim of a conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, and had moved to another area where he did not know anybody but local people knew of his conviction for assault. This left him very isolated. He had put everything he had into the campaign, over £50,000, and it was being destroyed by the conviction for assault. Several other things had gone wrong and Mr. McArthur went to court in a very fragile mental state. He was certainly not capable of dealing with the detail of the case in a way that would have proved his innocence.

His condition a few months earlier is described in a probation officers report. The sections are on pages 5 and 6 of the appendix and are highlighted.

'Mr. McArthur presented as very emotional during the interview He admitted that he had become stressed worried and anxious..... There were concerns over Mr McArthur's emotional wellbeing and therefore he presents as a medium risk of harm to himself.'

Mr. McArthur's condition did not improve, and Dr Anna Brown, his probation officer could probably add to this. During the time Mr. McArthur was under the supervision of Dr Brown she told him she believed he had undergone some form of breakdown. Mr. McArthur felt that he had spent something like six months in that condition. Mr. McArthur still finds it very difficult to discuss this period.